This Theory Is 0

David Maker

Abstract All mathematicians know that the limit of a Cauchy sequence of rational numbers is a Cauchy real number (Cantor 1872). So all we did here is show we postulated real#0 by using it to derive a rational Cauchy sequence with limit 0. We did this because that same postulate (of real#0) math *also* implies fundamental theoretical physics (eg.,the Newpde 'solutions'below) making this a Ultimate Occam's Razor postulate implying the ultimate math-physics theory, a important result indeed. Nothing is more (real)'Occam' than postulate0.

So this **Theory** is 0. But we need to define the algebra first and use it to write the postulate0. So define 1)*numbers* 1≡1+0 in **0**≡0X0,1≡1X1 as *symbol* **z=zz**: (algebraic definition of **0**.). So now we can write **2)Postulate** *real* number **0** *if* **z'=0** and **z'=1** plugged into z'=z'z'+C (**eq.1**) results in *some* C=0 constant(ie δC=0).

So postulate0 is our *entire* (ultimate Occam's razor postulate) **theory.**

Note: Also that "*some* C" implies there *might be* other C with the only other *available* number C=1 in the above theory beside 0 (available≡previously derived from postulate(0) as in a symbolic logic proof). So 'postulate 0' as *real* (implying single 'observable' application: eq11 *real* eigenvalues)

Single **Application:** Above theory says only to plug 1,0 into eq.1.

Jump to •Conclusion below for result.

•Plug in **z=0**=z_o=z'in **eq1.** The equality sign in eq,1 demands we substitute z' on left (**eq1)** into right z'z' repeatedly and get iteration $z_{N+1}=z_Nz_N+C$. So using that *other available* C=1 z_1=0X0+1 =(0+1)≡1 so z_2=1X1+1≡ (1+1)≡2 is now available etc. (both sums *defined* algebraically to be (a+b)≡c) and *define* rules of algebra (on these big *numbers*) like a+b=b+a (eg.,ring-field) with no new axioms. So postulate 0 also *generates* the big number algebra we can now use. For example we can now define constant C with **δC=0.** When applied on iteration $z_{N+1}=z_Nz_N+C$, z_o=0 it also requires we reject the Cs for which $\delta C=\delta(z_{N+1}-z_Nz_N)=\delta(\infty-\infty)\neq 0$. The Cs that are left over define the fractal **Mandelbrot set** C_M=C=δz'= 10^{40N}δz, N=integer also giving that required C=0∈C_M (C≈0 for z=1 plugin is below.). See fig1 zoom.Thus these fractal scales have their own δz' that must perturb that **z=1** **so** z=1+δz in **eq.1** to get δz+δzδz=C (3)



fig1 Defining 'observers' (circle, eq.11) scale as fractal scale N then M< N (implied by eq.3) is the 'observables' scale M. For example we can define the fig1 'observer' fractal scales as N=1 implying |δz|>>1 since C is then huge in comparison to the M=0 scale. In addition to the above iteration, we must also solve eq 3 as a quadratic equation

$$\delta z=(-1\pm\sqrt{1+4C})/2 \equiv dr+idt \text{ if } C\leq -1/4 \text{ (complex)} \qquad (4)$$

Note the Mandelbrot set iteration (ie., $z_{N+1}=z_Nz_N-C$) for this δC=0 *extremum* C=-¼ is a rational number Cauchy sequence -¼, -3/16, -55/256, ..,**0** thereby proving our above postulated *real#0* math. QED

•Plug in **z=1** in z'=1+δz in **eq1**, So **δC=0**= [eq1 implies eq3]=δ(δz+δzδz)=δδz(1)+δδz(δz)+(δz)δδz= (observer |δz|>>1 implying M<N) ≈δ(δzδz)=0=(plug in eq.4) =δ[(dr+idt)(dr+idt)] =

$$\delta[(dr^2-dt^2)+i(drdt+dtdr)]=0 \qquad (5)$$

=2D δ[(Minkowski metric, c=1)+i(Clifford algebra→eq.7a)] (≡Dirac eq)

Factor real eq.5 $\delta(dr^2-dt^2)=\delta[(dr+dt)(dr-dt)]=0=[[\delta(dr+dt)](dr-dt)]+[(dr+dt)[\delta(dr-dt)]]=0$ (6)

so -dr+dt=ds,-dr-dt=ds≡ds_1(→±**e**) Squaring&eq.5 gives circle.in e,v (dr,dt) 2nd,3rd quadrants (7)

& dr+dt=ds, dr-dt=ds, dr±dt=0, light cone ($\rightarrow\nu,\bar{\nu}$) in same (dr,dt) plane $1^{st},4^{th}$quadrants (8) &
dr+dt=0,dr-dt=0 so dr=dt=0 defines vacuum (while eq.4 derives space-time) (9)
Those quadrants give *positive* scalar drdt in eq.7 (if *not* vacuum) so imply the eq.5 *non* infinite extremum
imaginary≡drdt+dtdr=0≡$\gamma^i dr\gamma^j dt+\gamma^j dt\gamma^i dr=(\gamma^i\gamma^j+\gamma^j\gamma^i)drdt$ so $(\gamma^i\gamma^j+\gamma^j\gamma^i)=0$, i≠j (from real eq5 $\gamma^i\gamma^i=1$) (7a)
Thus from eqs5,7a: $ds^2=dr^2-dt^2=(\gamma^r dr+i\gamma^t dt)^2$ Note how eq5 and C_M just fall (pop) out of eq.1, amazing!
We square eqs.7 or 8 or 9 $ds_1^2=(dr+dt)(dr+dt)=(-dr-dt)(-dr-dt=[dr^2+dt^2]+(drdt+dtdr)$
$\equiv ds^2+ds_3=ds_1^2$ =**Circle**+invariant. **Circle**≡$\delta z=dse^{i\theta}=dse^{i(\Delta\theta+\theta o)}=dse^{i((\cos\theta dr+\sin\theta dt)/(ds)+\theta o)}$, $\theta_o=45°$
min of $\delta ds^2=0$ given eq.7 constraint for N=0 δz' perturbation of eq5 flat space. We define
k≡dr/ds, ω≡dt/ds, sinθ≡r, cosθ≡t. $dse^{i45°}$≡ds'. Take ordinary derivative dr (since flat space) of
'Circle' $\frac{\partial\left(ds e'^{i\left(\frac{rdr}{ds}+\frac{tdt}{ds}\right)}\right)}{\partial r}=i\frac{dr}{ds}\delta z$ so $\frac{\partial\left(ds e^{i(rk+wt)}\right)}{\partial r}=ik\delta z$, thus $k\delta z=-i\frac{\partial\delta z}{\partial r}$ (11)
k is a real eigenvalue observables operator. Also note the connection of eq11 with that 'circle'.

Also need a C≈0 for z=1 <u>plug in</u>
N=0 gives δz>>δzδz so from eq.3 δz≈C. So with eq.5 Lorentz γ frame of reference (the required) small
$C=\delta z'=C_M/\gamma=C_M/\xi$ (≈0 required since z=1+δz') so big ξ. $C_M=e^2 10^{40N}$ defines .charge, ξ =γ defines mass.
This δz is also a rotation on that circle at 45°so modified eq.7: (dr-δz')+(dt+δz')≡dr'+dt'=ds (12)
Define $\kappa_{rr}\equiv(dr/dr')^2=(dr/(dr-\delta z'))^2=1/(1-r_H/r)^2=A_1/(1-r_H/r)+A_2/(1-r_H/r)^2$. The partial fractions A_I can be split off from RN and so $\kappa_{rr}\approx1/[1-r_H/r]$. (13)
in $ds^2=\kappa_{rr}dr'^2+\kappa_{oo}dt'^2$ (14)
From eq.7a $dr'dt'=\sqrt{\kappa_{rr}}dr'\sqrt{\kappa_{oo}}dt'=drdt$ so $\kappa_{rr}=1/\kappa_{oo}$ (15)

•**Both <u>z=0,z=1</u>** together (<u>in eq1.</u> Use 3D orthogonality to get (2D+2Dcurved space)). Thus δz'+δz=
$(dx_1+idx_2)+(dx_3+idx_4)\equiv dr+idt$ given $dr^2-dt^2=(\gamma^r dr+i\gamma^t dt)^2$ *if* $dr^2\equiv dx^2+dy^2+dz^2$ (3D orthogonality) so that
$\gamma^r dr\equiv\gamma^x dx+\gamma^y dy+\gamma^z dz$, $\gamma^i\gamma^j+\gamma^j\gamma^i=0$, i≠j,$(\gamma^i)^2=1$. From eq,13,14,15 $(\gamma^x\sqrt{\kappa_{xx}}dx+\gamma^y\sqrt{\kappa_{yy}}dy+\gamma^z\sqrt{\kappa_{zz}}dz+\gamma^t\sqrt{\kappa_{tt}}idt)^2=$
$\kappa_{xx}dx^2+\kappa_{yy}dy^2+\kappa_{zz}dz^2-\kappa_{tt}dt^2=ds^2$. Multiply both sides by $\hbar^2/ds^2$ and $\delta z^2\equiv\psi^2$ use eq11 inside brackets( ) get
4D **QM** $\gamma^\mu(\sqrt{\kappa_{\mu\mu}})\partial\psi/\partial x_\mu=(\omega/c)\psi$ ≡Newpde for e,*ν*, $\kappa_{oo}=1-r_H/r=1/\kappa_{rr}$, $r_H=C_M/\xi=e^2X10^{40N}/m$ (**N**=. -1,0,1.,).
Postulate 0→Newpde

Solutions of Newpde e,*ν*: stable $\mathbf{2P_{3/2}}$ at $r=r_H$

N=0 Mandelbulbs: **Free space:** τ, μ, e leptons**.** On**Sphere** $\mathbf{2P_{3/2}}$ at $r=r_H$ 3e baryons (no QCD)
N=1 inside zitterbewegung oscillation $r<r_C$ puts us in the **cosmological expansion** stage.
Other results (besides Newpde) from postulate0, eg., Copenhagen stuff and 10^{82} electrons **e**
between consequitive fractal scales such as N=0 and N=1 cosmological $r=r_H$, $2P_{3/2}$
perturbation objects B,C
Object B N=0 perturbations of κ_{oo} and κ_{rr} in the Newpde **E&M,** N=-1 gravity GR
Object C N=0 " " " **weak,** both **SM**
That eq1 **iteration generates** real0 and **algebra rules** (eg.,ring-field) *with no new axioms*.
Thus (with the math&physics) we understand *everything* (eg GR, cosmology, QM,e,*ν* SM, baryons, rel#).
So the *simplest idea imaginable* 0 implies all *fundamental math-physics.* no more, no less(eg simply 4D)

•**Conclusion:** So by merely (<u>plugging</u> 0,1 <u>into</u> <u>eq.1)</u> **postulating 0**, out pops the whole universe, BOOM! easily the most important discovery ever made or that will ever be made again. We finally figured it out.