## Cauchy completeness and physics

David Maker

**Abstract** It is well known to all mathematicians that the real numbers (ie .rationals & irrationals) can be constructed from Cauchy completeness i.e. real# sets as rational Cauchy sequence limits. So all we did here is show we postulated real#0 by using it to derive a associated rational Cauchy sequence. We did this because that same postulate (of real#0) math *also* implies fundamental theoretical physics. See "Results".

```
But 0=0\times0,1=1\times1;1=1+0. So with the simplest algebraic definition of 0 and 1 being z=zz we hypothesize
Postulate real number 0 (so real1) if z'=1 and z'=0 are substituted (plugged) into
                                                                                                                 z'=z'z'+C eq1
 results in some C=0 constant(ie \deltaC=0). Thus
•Plug in z=0=z_0=z'in eq1. To find all C substitute z' on left (eq1) into right z'z' repeatedly and get iteration
z_{N+1}=z_Nz_N-C. Constraint \delta C=0 requires we reject the Cs for which -\delta C=\delta(z_{N+1}-z_Nz_N)=\delta(\infty-\infty)\neq 0. The Cs
that are left over define the Mandelbrot set C_M=C with a
subset C=0, fractal scales \delta z'=10^{40N}\delta z, N=integer
These fractal scales having their own \delta z then perturb that z=1 so put z=1+\delta z in eq.1 to get \delta z+\delta z\delta z=C (3)
Define N≤0 as 'observable' fractal scales. Thus define the 'observer' fractal scales as N≥1 implying |\delta z| >>1
Then solve equation 3 as a quadratic equation so \delta z = (-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4C})/2 = dr + idt if C \le -\frac{1}{4} (complex) (4)
Mandelbrot set iteration (ie., z_{N+1}=z_Nz_N-C) for this \delta C=0 extremum C=-\frac{1}{4} is a rational number Cauchy
sequence -1/4, -3/16, -55/256, ..., 0 thereby proving the hypothesis of our above postulated real#0 math
postulating literally nothing(0) (except real |s| since real |s| = 1+0=1\cup0.)
•Plug in z=1 in z'=1+\delta z in eq1, So \delta C=0= (eq1 implies eq3)=\delta(\delta z+\delta z\delta z)=\delta\delta z(1)+\delta\delta z(\delta z)+(\delta z)\delta\delta z=
(observer |\delta z| > 1) \approx \delta(\delta z \delta z) = 0 = (\text{plug in eq. 4}) = \delta[(dr + idt)(dr + idt)] = \delta[(dr^2 - dt^2) + i(drdt + dtdr)] = 0 (5)
                                  =2D \delta[(Minkowski metric, c=1)+i(Clifford algebra\rightarroweq.7a)]
                                                                                                                    (≡Dirac ea)
Factor real eq.5 \delta(dr^2-dt^2) = \delta[(dr+dt)(dr-dt)] = 0 = [[\delta(dr+dt)](dr-dt)] + [(dr+dt)[\delta(dr-dt)]] = 0 (6)
so -dr+dt=ds, -dr-dt=ds=ds_1(\rightarrow \pm e) Squaring&eq.5 gives circle.in e,v (dr,dt) 2^{nd}, 3^{rd} quadrants (7)
& dr+dt=ds, dr-dt=ds, dr±dt=0, light cone (\rightarrow v, \overline{v}) in same (dr,dt) plane
                                                                                                1<sup>st</sup>,4<sup>th</sup>quadrants (8)
& dr+dt=0, dr-dt=0 so dr=dt=0
                                                        defines vacuum (while eq.4 derives space-time) (9)
Those quadrants give positive scalar drdt in eq.7 (if not vacuum) so imply the eq.5 non infinite extremum
imaginary=drdt+dtdr=0=\gamma^idr\gamma^jdt+\gamma^jdt\gamma^idr=(\gamma^i\gamma^j+\gamma^j\gamma^i)drdt so (\gamma^i\gamma^j+\gamma^j\gamma^i)=0, i\neq j (from real eq5 \gamma^j\gamma^i=1) (7a)
Thus from eqs5,7a: ds^2 = dr^2 - dt^2 = (\gamma^r dr + i\gamma^t dt)^2 Note how eq5 and C_M just fall (pop) out of eq.1, amazing!
```

•Both <u>z=0,z=1</u> together (<u>in eq1.</u> Use orthogonality to get (2D+2Dcurved space)). Thus (z=1)+(z=0)= (dx<sub>1</sub>+idx<sub>2</sub>)+(dx<sub>3</sub>+idx<sub>4</sub>)=dr+idt given dr<sup>2</sup>-dt<sup>2</sup>=(γ<sup>r</sup>dr+iγ<sup>t</sup>dt)<sup>2</sup>if dr<sup>2</sup>=dx<sup>2</sup>+dy<sup>2</sup>+dz<sup>2</sup> (3D orthogonality) so that γ<sup>r</sup>dr=γ<sup>x</sup>dx+γ<sup>y</sup>dy+γ<sup>z</sup>dz, γ<sup>j</sup>γ<sup>i</sup>+γ<sup>j</sup>γ<sup>i</sup>=0, i≠j,(γ<sup>i</sup>)<sup>2</sup>=1, rewritten (κ<sub>ii</sub> from N=0 C<sub>M</sub> perturbation of N=1, eqs 7,13) as (γ<sup>x</sup>  $\sqrt{\kappa_{xx}}$ dx+γ<sup>y</sup>  $\sqrt{\kappa_{yy}}$ dy+γ<sup>z</sup>  $\sqrt{\kappa_{zz}}$ dz+γ<sup>t</sup>  $\sqrt{\kappa_{tt}}$ idt)<sup>2</sup>= $\kappa_{xx}$ dx<sup>2</sup>+ $\kappa_{yy}$ dy<sup>2</sup>+ $\kappa_{zz}$ dz<sup>2</sup>- $\kappa_{tt}$ dt<sup>2</sup>= ds<sup>2</sup>. Multiply both sides by 1/ds<sup>2</sup> and δz<sup>2</sup>=ψ<sup>2</sup> use circle -i∂δz/∂r=(dr/ds)δz inside brackets() get 4D QM γ<sup>μ</sup>( $\sqrt{\kappa_{μμ}}$ )  $\partial \psi/\partial x_{μ}$ =(ω/c)  $\psi$ =Newpde for e,ν, κ<sub>00</sub>=1-r<sub>H</sub>/r =1/κ<sub>rr</sub>, r<sub>H</sub>=e<sup>2</sup>X10<sup>40N</sup>/m (N=. -1,0,1.,). So κ<sub>μν</sub> carries the covariance & **Postulate 1**→**Newpde** 

(These quadrants in e,v plane *illustrate* the 4 Boson SM 4 rotation extreme math of ref.1, eq.12)

Results: of (merely <u>plugging z'=0,z'=1 into eq.1)</u> postulate1: (1) backups: davidmaker.com Newpde: N=0,stable r=r<sub>H</sub> composite(part II) 3e 2P<sub>3/2</sub> is baryons(QCD not required), SM is the extreme of 4 e,v quadrant rotations. N=-1 is GR. Expansion stage of N=1 scale δz'=δze<sup>iwt</sup> Dirac eq zitterbewegung oscillation is the cosmological expansion, N=0 the 3<sup>rd</sup> order Taylor expansion component(1) of √κ<sub>oo</sub> gets the anomalous gyromagnetic ratio so don't need the renormalization infinities. So we get the physics here Math: We use that 1+c=1∪c to define above *list-define* (ring-field) algebra and note again that iteration gives a Cauchy sequence limit of real# eigenvalues, so we get the rel# math as well with no new axioms. Thus (with the math&physics) we understand *everything* (eg GR, cosmology, QM,e,v SM, baryons, rel#).

•So the *simplest idea imaginable* 1 implies all *fundamental math-physics*. no more, no less(eg simply 4D) Conclusion: So by merely (<u>plugging</u> 0,1 into eq.1) postulating 1, out pops the universe, BOOM! easily the most important discovery ever made or that will ever be made again. We finally figured it out.

Reminder: The algebraic definition of 1 is z=zz (note z=0,1) if C=0 in the below definition:

Summary: This

Theory is 1 The rest is a (rel#1) definition.

```
Theory

Postulate 1 is defined algebraically if z=1 and z=0 (plugged) into z=zz+C eq1 gives some C=0 constant(ie ⊗C=0)

So

can plug (⊗C=0 & Z=0 into eq1 iteration(to get all C) get 2D (complex) Mandelbrot set CM=C (fractal scale N) (this iteration also results in a Cauchy sequence confirming 1 is a real# comes from our above '1' definition.)

plug (⊗C=0 & Z=1 into eq1 get 2D Dirac equation ((N=1) = 'observer') perturbing N=0 (z=1) "observables"

combine both 2D+2D=4D Newpde using (dx1+idx2) z=0+(dx3+idx4) z=1*dr+idt & dr 3D orthogonalization therefore

(So we get all of physics and 1+C→1∪ algebra and Real#math(1 such CM iteration is Cauchy) everything that is physical, no more, no less. See backups at davidmaker.com eg.,in introduction "Ultimate Occam's razor postulate1 so ultimate physics theory, So understand universe completely
```