

## Cauchy completeness and physics

David.Maker

**Abstract** It is well known to all mathematicians that the real numbers (ie rationals & irrationals) can be constructed from Cauchy completeness i.e. real# sets as rational Cauchy sequence limits. All we did here is show we postulated real#0 by using it to derive a associated rational Cauchy sequence. We did this because that same postulate (of real#0) *also* implies important fundamental theoretical physics;see results

In that regard the simplest algebraic definition of 1 (and 0) is  $z=zz$ . So  $z=1,0$ ; given also the list  $1=1+0$ ,  $0X1=0$ , etc as *definitions* of their respective symbolic relations; (eg.,  $c=a+b, c=ab$ ) with that  $1=1+0=1\cup 0$  implying that if 0 is real then so is 1. Thus given the algebraic definition of 1 is  $z=zz$  ( $z=1,0$ )

**postulate** real number 1 holds when  $z=1$  and  $z=0$  are substituted (plugged) into  $z'=z'z'+C$  eq1 results in *some*  $C=0$  constant(ie  $\delta C=0$ ). Thus

•**Plug** in  $z=0=z_0=z'$  To find *all* C substitute z' on left (**eq1**) into right z'z' repeatedly and get iteration  $z_{N+1}=z_N z_N - C$ . Constraint  $\delta C=0$  requires we reject the Cs for which  $-\delta C=\delta(z_{N+1}-z_N z_N)=\delta(\infty-\infty)\neq 0$ . The Cs that are left over define the **Mandelbrot set**  $C_M=C$  with a subset  $C=0$ , fractal scales  $\delta z'=10^{40N}\delta z, N=\text{integer}$  So  $z=0$  fractal scales have their own  $\delta z$  that perturb that  $z=1$  so put  $z=1+\delta z$  in **eq.1** to get  $\delta z+\delta z\delta z=C$  (3)

Then solve equation 3 as a quadratic equation so  $\delta z=(-1\pm\sqrt{1+4C})/2=dr+idt$  if  $C < -1/4$  (**complex**) (4)

Thus Mandelbrot set iteration for *extremum*  $C=C_M=-1/4$  is a rational# Cauchy seq.  $-1/4, -3/16, -55/256, ..0$  confirming the real#0 Cauchy completeness. Thus also 1 in above  $1=1\cup 0$  is a real # verifying postulate 1 Define  $N\leq 0$  as 'observable' fractal scales. Thus define the 'observer' fractal scales as  $N\geq 1$  implying  $|\delta z| \gg 1$

•**Plug** in  $z=1$  in  $z'=1+\delta z$  in **eq1**, So  $\delta C=0=$  (eq1 implies eq3)  $=\delta(\delta z+\delta z\delta z)=\delta\delta z(1)+\delta\delta z(\delta z)+(\delta z)\delta\delta z=$  (use  $|\delta z| \gg 1$ )  $\approx \delta(\delta z\delta z)=0=(\text{plug in eq.4})=\delta[(dr+idt)(dr+idt)]=\delta[(dr^2-dt^2)+i(dr dt+dt dr)]=0$  (5)

$$=2D \delta[(\text{Minkowski metric, } c=1)+i(\text{Clifford algebra}\rightarrow\text{eq.7a})] \quad (\equiv \text{Dirac eq})$$

Factor **real** eq.5  $\delta(dr^2-dt^2)=\delta[(dr+dt)(dr-dt)]=0=[[\delta(dr+dt)](dr-dt)]+[(dr+dt)[\delta(dr-dt)]]=0$  (6)

so  $-dr+dt=ds, -dr-dt=ds \Rightarrow ds_1 (\rightarrow \pm e)$  Squaring & eq.5 gives circle in  $e, v$  ( $dr, dt$ ) 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> quadrants (7)

&  $dr+dt=ds, dr-dt=ds, dr+dt=0$ , light cone ( $\rightarrow v, \bar{v}$ ) in **same**  $e, v$  ( $dr, dt$ ) plane 1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> quadrants (8)

&  $dr+dt=0, dr-dt=0$  so  $dr=dt=0$  defines vacuum (while eq.4 derives space-time) (9)

Those quadrants give *positive* scalar  $dr dt$  of eq.7 (if *not* vacuum) imply the eq.5 *non* infinite extremum

**imaginary**  $=dr dt+dt dr=0=\gamma^i dr^j dt+\gamma^j dt^i dr=(\gamma^i \gamma^j+\gamma^j \gamma^i) dr dt$  so  $(\gamma^i \gamma^j+\gamma^j \gamma^i)=0, i\neq j$  (from **real** eq5  $\gamma^i \gamma^i=1$ ) (7a)

Thus from eqs5,7a:  $ds^2=dr^2-dt^2=(\gamma^i dr+\gamma^j dt)^2$  Note how eq5 and  $C_M$  just fall (pop) out of eq.1, amazing! (4 Boson SM derived using the 4  $e, v$  quadrant rotation extreme)

•**Both  $z=0, z=1$**  together (in **eq1**. Use orthogonality to get (2D+2D curved space)). Thus  $(z=1)+(z=0)=$

$(dx_1+idx_2)+(dx_3+idx_4)\equiv dr+idt$  given  $dr^2-dt^2=(\gamma^i dr+\gamma^j dt)^2$  if  $dr^2=dx^2+dy^2+dz^2$  (3D orthogonality) so that (1)

$\gamma^i dr=\gamma^x dx+\gamma^y dy+\gamma^z dz, \gamma^i \gamma^i+\gamma^j \gamma^j=0, i\neq j, (\gamma^i)^2=1$ , rewritten ( $\kappa_{ii}$  from  $N=0$   $C_M$  perturbation of  $N=1$ , eqs 7,13) as

$(\gamma^x \sqrt{\kappa_{xx}} dx+\gamma^y \sqrt{\kappa_{yy}} dy+\gamma^z \sqrt{\kappa_{zz}} dz+\gamma^t \sqrt{\kappa_{tt}} idt)^2=\kappa_{xx} dx^2+\kappa_{yy} dy^2+\kappa_{zz} dz^2-\kappa_{tt} dt^2=ds^2$ . Multiply both sides by  $1/ds^2$  and

$\delta z^2 \equiv \psi^2$  use circle  $-i\delta z/\partial r=(dr/ds)\delta z$  inside brackets ( ) get 4D QM  $\gamma^\mu (\sqrt{\kappa_{\mu\mu}}) \partial \psi / \partial x_\mu = (\omega/c) \psi \equiv \text{Newpde}$  for  $e, v, \kappa_{oo}=1-r_H/r=1/\kappa_r, r_H=e^2 X 10^{40N}/m$  ( $N=-1, 0, 1, ..$ ). So  $\kappa_{\mu\nu}$  carries the covariance & **Postulate 1**  $\rightarrow \text{Newpde}$

**Results:** of (merely plugging  $z'=0, z'=1$  into **eq.1**) **postulate 1:** (1) backups: davidmaker.com

**Newpde:**  $N=0$ , stable  $r=r_H$  composite (part II)  $3e 2P_{3/2}$  is baryons (QCD not required), SM is the extreme of 4  $e, v$  quadrant rotations.  $N=-1$  is GR. Expansion stage of  $N=1$  scale  $\delta z'=\delta z e^{i\omega t}$  Dirac eq zitterbewegung oscillation is the cosmological expansion, the 3<sup>rd</sup> order Taylor expansion component (1) of  $\sqrt{\kappa_{oo}}$  gets the anomalous gyromagnetic ratio so don't need the renormalization infinities. So we get the physics here.

Math: We use that  $1+c=1\cup c$  to define above *list-define* (ring-field) algebra and note again that iteration gives a Cauchy sequence limit of real# eigenvalues, so we get the rel# math as well with no new axioms.

Thus (with the math&physics) we understand *everything* (eg GR, cosmology, QM,  $e, v$  SM, baryons, rel#).

•So the *simplest idea imaginable 1* implies all *fundamental math-physics*. no more, no less (eg simply 4D)

**Conclusion:** So by merely (plugging 0, 1 into **eq.1**) **postulating 1**, out pops the universe, BOOM! easily the most important discovery ever made or that will ever be made again. We finally figured it out.

Reminder: The algebraic *definition of 1* is  $z=zz$  (note  $z=0,1$ ) if  $C=0$  in the below definition:

Summary: This

Theory is **1** The rest is a (rel#1) definition.

**Theory**

Real#1 definition

**Postulate 1** is defined algebraically if  $z=1$  and  $z=0$  (plugged) into  $z=zz+C$  eq1 gives some  $C=0$  constant (ie  $\delta C=0$ )

So

can plug ( $\delta C=0$  &  $z=0$ ) into eq1 iteration (to get *all*C) get 2D (complex) Mandelbrot set  $C_M=C$  (fractal scale N) (this iteration also results in a Cauchy sequence confirming **1** is a real# comes from our above '1' definition.)

plug ( $\delta C=0$  &  $z=1$ ) into eq1 get 2D Dirac equation ((N=1)  $\equiv$  'observer') perturbing N=0 (z=1) "observables"

combine **both** 2D+2D=4D Newpde using  $(dx_1+idx_2)_{z=0}+(dx_3+idx_4)_{z=1}=\delta r+idt$  &  $\delta r$  3D orthogonalization therefore

**postulate 1**  $\rightarrow$  Newpde (So we get all of physics and  $1+C \rightarrow 1$  algebra and Real#math(1 such  $C_M$  iteration is Cauchy) **everything** that is physical, no more, no less. See backups at davidmaker.com eg., in "introduction" Ultimate Occam's razor postulate: so ultimate physics theory, So understand universe completely